

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,436	05/30/2001	Thaddeus John Gabara	80	4086
. 75	90 04/25/2002			W
Rayan, Mason & Lewis, LLP			EXAMINER	
90 Forest Avenu Locust Valley, 1			NGUYEN, HAI L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2002	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
and the same of th	09/870,436	GABARA, THADDEUS JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Hai L. Nguyen	2816				
The MAILING DATE f this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	<u> March 2002</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-35,38,39</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,36,37,40 and 41</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Election

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-7, 36, 37, 40, and 41 in paper No.6 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 36, 40, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pascucci (US 5,901,087).

With regard to claim 1, Pascucci discloses in Fig.2 a comparator circuit comprising an evaluation element (2); and at least first and second input legs each coupled to a corresponding one of a first (B) and second (B') node of the evaluation element, the first and second input legs having non-complementary structures relative to one another and being adapted to receive respective first and second input signals, each of the non-complementary structures having associated therewith a variable parameter having a value that is a function of a corresponding one of the input signals, the evaluation element being adapted to perform a comparison of the first and second input signals.

With regard to claims 2-7, Pascucci also meets these claimed limitations.

Claims 36, 40, and 41 are similarly rejected, note the above discussion with regard to claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pascucci.

The above discussed circuit of Pascucci meets all of the claimed limitations except for the limitation that the comparator circuit is fabricated in the integrated circuit. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the comparator circuit of Pascucci in a single Integrated Circuit for the advantage of being able to reduce the area of the circuit.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. For example, Manning et al. (US 6,005,816) is cited as of interest because it discloses a sense amplifier for complimentary or non-complimentary data signals.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai L. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-9178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/870,436

Art Unit: 2816

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.